



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:)
Polyak et al.) Examiner: S. E. Saucier
Serial No.: 09/976,805) Group Art Unit: 1651
Filed: October 12, 2001) Atty. Dkt. 122790-00103
For: MACHINE PERFUSION SOLUTION FOR)
ORGAN AND BIOLOGICAL TISSUE)
PRESERVATION)

APPLICANTS' COMMENT ON EXAMINER'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:


Applicants gratefully acknowledge the Examiner indicating that claims 8-12 and 20-27 are allowed.

Applicants hereby submit the following comments on the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment of August 25, 2005:

Applicants agree that the claimed invention possesses unexpected results patentable over the prior art. Applicants note that, pursuant to MPEP §1302.14, the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance is not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the details why the claims are allowed and was not written to specifically or impliedly state that all the reasons for allowance are set forth. Applicants further assert that the prior art also does not show other features and combinations of features recited in the allowed independent and dependent claims. Therefore, the reason set forth by the Examiner is not the sole reason that the claims are

patentably distinct over the prior art. Therefore, the reason set forth by the Examiner is not the sole reason that the claims are patentably distinct over the prior art.

Respectfully submitted,

By:  MINH-QUAN PHAM
Charles R. Wolfe, Jr. REG. NO. 50,594
Registration No. 28,680

BLANK ROME LLP
Watergate
600 New Hampshire Avenue
Washington, DC 20037
Telephone: 202-772-5800
Facsimile: 202-572-1400